VIA FACSIMILE CONFIRMATION VIA MAIL

27 June 2001

George Pettit Esq., CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP, Suite 800, 1990 M Street NW, Washington, DC 20036-3425, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Dear George,

RE: US Patent Appln. Serial No. 09/762852 (Hunt)
Interactive System for Enabling TV Shopping
Your Ref: 0234/00070 - Ours: HLM/CE/J00024475US

I do not appear to have received a reply to my letter of 19 June 2001 (copy enclosed).

I am still pressing Simon Hunt and have now called in his solicitors for assistance. Trouble is, he is still pretty aggrieved about the treatment he received at the hands of Nisaba and I suspect he sees the opportunity to play silly bugger and extract a little revenge as too good to let up. I am still hopeful that he will sign, but I am naturally concerned that the 12 July deadline is fast approaching. We need a contingency plan just in case.

Your e-mail of 25 January 2001 to Bobby Mukherjee (no longer with this firm) said that if Simon Hunt refused to sign you would need proof that he received our letter containing the application and asking that he sign, and proof of Nisaba's ownership of the application. In this connection, I am enclosing a copy of our letter of 5 February 2001 to Simon (note that the application was properly enclosed) and a copy of his acknowledgement of receipt. My questions to you are: do we need any further proof of receipt and, since Simon acknowledges in his letter that the invention belongs to Nisaba (it was subsequently assigned to Media Logic) which is the statutory position under Section 39 of the 1977 UK Patents Act, do we need any additional proof of ownership.

You will note that Simon said at the end of his letter that after receiving the necessary authority from Nisaba he would execute the "required transaction" in accordance with our request. Such authority was given to Simon months ago.

Since we first wrote to Simon on 5 February 2001 and received his reply, I have written to him several times (on 3 May 2001 and 16 May 2001, copy letters enclosed) and I have also spoken to him on several occasions. He has verbally acknowledge receipt of all our letters and even said to me that we should not trouble with recorded delivery because he has received all of our letters. The last time that we spoke caused me to write to you on 19 June as Simon said that the assignment deed sent to you with my letter of 17 May 2001 gave Media Logic power of attorney to sign on his behalf, so why were we still asking him to sign. I also wrote to Simon's solicitors at his suggestion and enclose a copy also of that letter, but so far I have had no positive response.

Obviously I can put all the above, or as much as you deem appropriate, in a declaration. Will that be sufficient if Simon continues to be a pain in the butt.

I look forward to hearing from you.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely, R.G.C. JENKINS & CO.

HOWARD L. MILHENCH